Makin' the Makeline – Sensors, Pizza Locker

Annie Liu, Damian Huerta, David Wechsler, Dennis Crawford, Leah Jackson

Introduction

Our main objective for this project is to design a product that allows customers to efficiently pick up pizza whenever they want. Workers in Papa Johns have trouble getting people in and out of the store within 3 minutes. On average, it takes between 7-8 minutes. In order to allow customers to have a faster and better experience with ordering their pizza, we proposed heated lockers that are connected to an application. This application will allow customers to order their pizza, then retrieve a QR code that will act as a key to opening a locker to their fresh pizza. Our performance goal is to have customers in and out of the store within 5 minutes of showing up. This is similar to Amazon Hub Lockers, where buyers are notified when their package has arrived, and they are sent a barcode to pick up their package. Workers in the back load the package within a few minutes of the buyer's arrival so that they are in and out within a few minutes. Our budget for the product is 1,000 dollars. Some of our future work may include building more sustainable lockers.

Store-Side + User-Side Scenario





Design Idea - Physical Locker

- The physical locker must maintain the pizza temperature between 150 200 Fahrenheit. This temperature is subject to change based on further testing of ideal pizza temperature within a cardboard box.
- Each locker must have some sort of ventilation to ensure appropriate moisture is maintained so that the food does not dry up or become soggy. Further specification on this will be collected via experiments.
- Each locker must be able to fit a reasonable order size of 2-5 pizzas or have varying sizes for different sized orders. This size can be obtained by viewing data of the average pizza order as well as the dimension of pizza boxes, breadstick boxes, and dessert containers.
- There should be no access available to an individual locker unless you are the end consumer or an employee placing the order into the locker.
- The Physical locker must be able to be powered by a regular 120V 15A outlet.



Design Idea - Micro-controller

- Our micro controller must be able to interface with some user interface to provide UI and make order pickup as simple as possible.
- The micro controller must be able to communicate via the web to our user-application back end to send/receive data.
- The micro controller must be able to interface with hardware such as a temperature sensor, locking mechanism (solenoid), potential infrared lighting system, and QR code reader.



Design Idea - UI

- The application must be able to read and display data from the actual locker unit to the end user (information from microcontroller), such as temperature, status of order, locker number to retrieve order from.
- The application must be able to be used from a customer perspective and employee perspective to store/retrieve pizza in lockers.



Cost Analysis

Supplies:

Pizza Warmer (1)	\$500 [1]
QR/Barcode Scanner (1)	\$50 [2]
5" Monitor (1)	\$70 [3]
Raspberry Pi (1)	\$45 [4]
Computer controllable latches (3)	\$100
Wood to build stand for the system	\$100
Paint	\$30
Sticker vinyl	\$15
<u>Total</u>	<u>\$910</u>



Test Analysis - Physical Locker

- Experiments can be designed to place pizza inside our proto-type and simulating user wait times while monitoring the temperature inside for a given order to test the insulation qualities, temperature sensors, and ventilation system.
- Structural test
- Test the locker size to make sure pizza fits



Test Analysis - Microcontroller

- Make sure our software state machine works as intended
- Another test for our microcontrollers can be to test each individual external hardware component such as temperature sensors, locking mechanism, and screen.
- The final main test to be performed will be testing the network connection between the Raspberry Pi and our user application back-end.

Test Analysis - Application

- Interface properly displays data and QR Code should be visible. This should be demonstrated with dummy data
- Make sure it can send and receive data from microcontroller system.
- Accounts must be tied to ether customer account or employee account.



Task Schedule





Team Schedule

Name	Start Date	1 End f	Carte /	1 Duratie	Jan, 2023	1			Feb,	Feb, 2022				r, 2022			Apr, 2022					May, 2	May, 2022		
	anari Crese			01.301	e 02 Jan	09 Jan	16 Jan	23 Jan	an oc	06 Feb	13 Feb	20 Feb	27 Feb	b 06 Mar	13 Mar	20 Mar	27 Mar	03 Apr	10 Apr	17 Apr	24 Apr	01 May	08 May	16 May	22 May
Expo Date (Done 1 Week Prior)	Apr 26, 2022	Apr 2f	/6. 2022	0 days																	•	Everyone	, High Risk	High Diffs	culty
Final Project Deliverable Deadline	May 02, 2022	May C	02, 2022	0 days																		•	Everyone , H	gh Risk , i	High Difficulty
 Proposal 	Jan 17, 2022	Feb 1	17, 2022	24 days	4					(and the second s		Everyone	, Low D	officulty . Low	Risk										
Work on Proposal	Jan 17, 2022	Feb 1	11, 2022	20 days							Everyon	e . Low Re	ik , Low	Difficulty											
Sponsor Site Visit	Feb 09, 2022	Feb 0	19, 2022	0 days						+	Annie Liu	, Dennis C	nawford	Low Risk .	Low Diffic										
Ideation	Jan 26, 2022	Feb 1	11, 2022	13 days							Everyon	e . Low Dif	ficulty . L	Low Risk											
Proposal Presentation	Feb 11, 2022	Feb 1	17, 2022	5 days								Everyone	, Low D	officulty , Low	Risk										
Proposal Due	Feb 11, 2022	Feb 1	11, 2022	0 days						+	Everyo	ne , Low D	mouty.	Low Risk											
Design Notebook	Jan 17, 2022	Apr 2	/6. 2022	74 days										_								Everyor	e . Low Diffe	ulty . Low	Risk
Work on Design Notebook	Jan 17, 2022	Apr 2f	18, 2022	74 days																		Everyor	e . Low Diffe	ulty . Low	Risk
Design Notebook Submission 1	Feb 25, 2022	Feb 2	25, 2022	0 days								+	Ever	ryone . Low D	officulty . L	ow Risk									
Design Notebook Submission 2	Apr 28, 2022	Apr 2f	/6, 2022	0 days																	+	Every	one . Low Dif	fculty . Lo	w Risk
Design Review	Feb 28, 2022	Mar 5	18, 2022	15 days										_		Everyor	ne , High R	esk , Low D	pimcutty						
Prepare Design Review Materials	Feb 28, 2022	Mar 5	18, 2022	15 days										-		Everyor	ne , High R	tisk , Low D	Difficulty						
Design Review	Mar 18, 2022	Mar 1	18, 2022	0 days											+	Everys	one , High	RISK , LOW	Difficulty						
 Final Report/Demonstration 	Apr 11, 2022	Apr 2	19, 2022	15 days																		Every	one , High Ri	sk. High D	Difficulty
Work on Report/ Prepare Demonstration	Apr 11, 2022	Apr 2	19, 2022	15 days																		Every	one , High Ri	sk.Low D	Micuity
 Locker Subsystem 	Feb 14, 2022	Apr 1	19, 2022	47 days										-							eah Jacks	on , David	Weschier, H	sign Diffici	utty , High Rist
Initial Design	Feb 14, 2022	Mar 1	18, 2022	25 days										-		David V	Peschler , I	Leah Jacks	Jon , High F	Risk , High	Difficulty				
Manufacturing	Mar 01, 2022	Apr 0	21, 2022	24 days														Lean Ja	ackson , Da	and Wesc	nier , High I	Difficulty .	High Risk		
System Integration/Testing	Apr 01, 2022	Apr 11	19, 2022	13 days																	Leah Jacks	on , David	vveschier, i	sign Diffici	utty , High Ris/
 Microcontroller Subsystem 	Feb 14, 2022	Apr 11	19, 2022	47 days										_							Damian Hur	erta , Anni	le Liu , High i	Risk , High	Diffic
Initial Design	Feb 14, 2022	Mar 1	18, 2022	25 days												Damian	Huerta, A	Annie L.R P	High Risk .	High Diffy	с				
Sensor Integration	Mar 01, 2022	Apr 0	1, 2022	24 days										-				Damian	Huerta , A	unie Liu .	High Risk.	High Diff	ic		
Application Integration/ System Testing	Apr 01, 2022	Apr 1	19, 2022	13 days																	barrian Hur	erta , Anni	le Liu , High i	Asx.High	Diffic
 Application Subsystem 	Feb 14, 2022	Apr 11	19, 2022	47 days										-							Dennis Cra	wford , He	In Risk , High	Difficulty	1
Initial Design	Feb 14, 2022	Mar 5	18, 2022	25 days												Dennis	Crawford .	High Risk	High Diffe	culty					
Backend/UI Development	Mar 01, 2022	Apr 0	/1, 2022	24 days														Dennis	Crawford ,	High Risk	, High Diff	Scutty			
System Integration	Apr 01, 2022	Apr 1f	19, 2022	13 days																	Dennis Crar	wford , He	In Risk , Hig	Difficulty	1